## Webster, Marilyn

## **COURSE OF EMPLOYMENT (RCW 51.08.013; RCW 51.08.180(1))**

Job site

Common entries that provide the only available route to work are premises used, occupied, or contracted for by the employer regardless of the use of the common entry by other businesses. ....In re Marilyn Webster, BIIA Dec., 03 18058 (2005)

Scroll down for order.

### 

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE APPEALS STATE OF WASHINGTON

N RE:	MARILYN K. WEBSTER	)	<b>DOCKET NO. 03 18058</b>
		)	
CLAIM NO. X-726390		)	DECISION AND ORDER

#### APPEARANCES:

Claimant, Marilyn K. Webster, by Delay, Curran, Thompson, Pontarolo & Walker, P.S., per Michael J. Pontarolo

Employer, Dakotah Direct II, by Annan & Associates, per Edgar L. Annan

Department of Labor and Industries, by The Office of the Attorney General, per Molly M. Parish, Assistant

This is an appeal filed by the claimant, Marilyn K. Webster, on July 28, 2003, from an order of the Department of Labor and Industries dated June 18, 2003. In this order, the Department rejected the claim on the grounds: that there was no proof of a specific injury at a definite time and place while in the course of employment; that the claimant's condition was not the result of the alleged injury; that the condition did not constitute an industrial injury within the meaning of RCW 51.08.100; and, that the condition did not constitute an occupational disease within the meaning of RCW 51.08.140. The Department order is **REVERSED AND REMANDED**.

#### **DECISION**

Pursuant to RCW 51.52.104 and RCW 51.52.106, this matter is before the Board for review and decision on a timely Petition for Review filed by the employer to a Proposed Decision and Order issued on October 21, 2004, in which the industrial appeals judge reversed and remanded the order of the Department dated June 18, 2003.

The Board has reviewed the evidentiary rulings in the record of proceedings and finds that no prejudicial error was committed. The rulings are affirmed.

The parties have stipulated that on November 8, 2002, Ms. Webster sustained an injury in the form of a medial meniscus tear to her right knee and that prior to her injury she had pre-existing degenerative changes of the articular cartilage of the medial femoral condyle and advanced chondromalacia patella. This leaves, as the sole issue before the Board, the question of whether

Ms. Webster was "in the course of her employment" when she suffered the November 8, 2002 injury.

The record clearly establishes that the right knee injury occurred as Ms. Webster stepped from the public sidewalk up and onto the front step of the Holley-Mason Building at about 7:50 a.m., November 8, 2002. In reaching this determination, we find Ms. Webster's account of her injury convincing and the observations of Jerome Sanders, the security guard in the lobby of the building at the time of the incident, questionable. Ms. Webster testified that prior to the injury she had a normal gait that was self-described as a "waddle." Her gait resulted from the fact that she is just less than five feet tall and weighs three hundred pounds. Mr. Sanders' description of Ms. Webster's gait approaching the building that morning is entirely consistent with her description of her normal gait as a "waddle" and not indicative of a true limp. We also find that Mr. Sanders was not in a position to observe the occurrence of the injury on the front step and was mistaken regarding the time that Ms. Webster came to work.

The injury occurred at a "time . . . immediate to the actual time" that Ms. Webster was required to be at work. RCW 51.08.013. The only question remaining in determining if Ms. Webster was "[a]cting in the course of employment" was whether she was "on the jobsite, as defined in RCW 51.32.015 and 51.36.040." Both RCW 51.32.015 and RCW 51.36.040 define the jobsite as "the premises as are occupied, used or contracted for by the employer for the business or work process in which the employer is then engaged." When Ms. Webster suffered the injury to her knee, she was proceeding to work along a route required by her special needs and authorized by her employer by the provision of a special key card. There was no route available for Ms. Webster to get to her work station on the second floor other than the path that she took on the morning of November 8, 2002. The entrance and the lobby were clearly areas "used or contracted for by the" Dakotah Direct II company "for the business or work process in which" it was then engaged. RCW 51.32.015. Ms. Webster was on the jobsite when she suffered the injury to her right knee.

In its Petition for Review, the employer references a Board Decision and Order, *In re Carol J. Agostinacci*, Dckt. No. 01 10943 (May 22, 2002), in which this Board dealt with the same issue as presented by Ms. Webster's appeal. The facts in this appeal are similar to those presented by the *Agostinacci* appeal. Ms. Agostinacci was entering the Bellevue Square Mall on the way to her job at The Bon Marche when she was injured. In making its decision in the *Agostinacci* case, this Board determined that the common areas in the mall were not owned or controlled by the employer.

that the employer was not responsible for the hazard that resulted in the injury, and that "the employer was not using the place of the injury in its business or work process." *Agostinacci*, at 6. While we would agree that neither of these appeals would fall within the holding of *Hamilton v. Department of Labor & Indus.*, 77 Wn.2d 355 (1969), or its progeny, we believe that, just as Ms. Agostinacci was on the jobsite, Ms. Webster was, as well. Not only do we find that our decision in the *Agostinacci* case is not controlling, we specifically overrule our holding in that case.

After consideration of the Proposed Decision and Order, the employer's Petition for Review filed thereto, the Claimant's Reply to Petition for Review, and a careful review of the entire record before us, we are persuaded that the Proposed Decision and Order is supported by the preponderance of the evidence and is correct as a matter of law. The Department order is reversed and the claim remanded to be allowed for the industrial injury that occurred on November 8, 2002, to Ms. Webster's right knee during the course of her employment.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. On December 4, 2002, Marilyn K. Webster filed an application for benefits with the Department of Labor and Industries. She alleged that on November 8, 2002, while in the employ of Dakotah Direct II, she injured her right knee. On June 18, 2003, the Department issued an order in which it rejected the claim on the following grounds: no proof of a specific injury at a definite time and place in the course of employment; that the condition was not the result of the alleged injury; that the condition did not constitute an industrial injury; and, that the condition did not constitute an occupational disease. On July 28, 2003, Ms. Webster filed her Notice of Appeal with the Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals from the June 18, 2003 Department order. On September 10, 2003, the Board issued an order in which it granted the appeal.
- 2. Marilyn K. Webster was born on October 2, 1948. She stands 4 feet, 11½ inches tall and weighs 300 pounds. She suffers from asthma. Ms. Webster's asthma and weight bar her from climbing stairs. Her weight restricts her from striding in a manner normal to persons of less weight. Ms. Webster describes the way she walks as "waddling."
- 3. On November 8, 2002, at about 7:50 a.m., the claimant, Marilyn K. Webster, while entering the Holley-Mason Building, located at 157 S. Howard Street, in Spokane, Washington, injured her right knee when she stepped from the public sidewalk onto the front step of that building, resulting in a condition that required proper and necessary medical treatment.
- 4. The common areas of the Holley-Mason Building, including the lobby and the front step on Howard Street, are part of the premises occupied,

used or contracted for by the employer, Dakotah Direct II, for the business and work in which it engages.

- 5. Dakotah Direct II employed Marilyn K. Webster as an eligibility benefits clerk and as such a clerk, she was paid on an hourly basis. For employees on Ms. Webster's shift, Dakotah Direct II began paying such clerks at 8:00 a.m.
- 6. Dakotah Direct II began its workday for eligibility benefits clerks at 7:50 a.m. Before it began to pay them at 8:00 a.m., Dakotah Direct II required each of its eligibility benefits clerks to be at the workstation; to turn on the computer; to access a program and check the approximately thirty screens of that program; and, to put on telephone earphones. This process took ten minutes. At the end of this process, the clerk's computer signals the supervisor's computer that the clerk is ready to receive incoming telephone calls.
- 7. On November 8, 2002, Marilyn K. Webster parked her car in the parking lot west of Howard Street across from the Holley-Mason Building. She crossed Howard Street directly from the parking lot to the Howard Street entrance to the building. She was not limping. She was waddling in her normal manner.

She crossed the sidewalk and placed her right leg up on the single step to the entrance of the Holley-Mason Building. She shifted her weight to that leg and began to move forward as she lifted her weight onto that leg. She heard a pop in her knee and felt immediate, disabling pain. She tore the medial meniscus of her right knee. Prior to her injury, she had pre-existing degenerative changes of articular cartilage of the medial femoral condyle and advanced chondromalacia patella.

A security guard, along with a co-worker, carried Ms. Webster to a van, and she was taken to the emergency room of Sacred Heart Hospital, where she received medical treatment.

8. On November 8, 2002, the route Marilyn K. Webster took to her workstation was the only route available to her in light of her special needs and was the route authorized by her employer through provisions of an electronic card.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals has jurisdiction over the parties to and the subject matter of this appeal.
- 2. On November 8, 2002, the step into the main entrance of the Holley-Mason Building, located at 157 S. Howard Street, in Spokane, Washington, constituted a part of Marilyn K. Webster's jobsite within the meaning of RCW 51.32.015 and RCW 51.36.040.

- 3. On November 8, 2002, when she injured her right knee, Marilyn K. Webster was in the course of her employment within the meaning of RCW 51.08.013.
- 4. On November 8, 2002, Marilyn K. Webster suffered an industrial injury within the meaning of RCW 51.08.100.
- 5. The order of the Department of Labor and Industries dated June 18, 2003, is incorrect and is reversed. The claim is remanded to the Department with directions to accept the claim of Marilyn K. Webster as an industrial injury and to provide such other and further benefits as the law authorizes or requires.

#### It is so **ORDERED**.

Dated this 11th day of April, 2005.

BOARD OF INDUSTRIAL INSU	RANCE APPEALS
/s/ THOMAS E. EGAN	Chairperson
/s/ FRANK E. FENNERTY, JR.	Member
/s/CALHOUN DICKINSON	 Member