


Q1 Please identify your role as a Self-Represented party before a Mediation judge.


Answered: 27 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Injured worker	70.37%	19
Employer or firm	29.63%	8
Other	0.00%	0
Retrospective Rating Group	0.00%	0
TOTAL		27

Q2 Select a mediation judge you appeared before between January 1, 2022 and September 30, 2023.

Answered: 16 Skipped: 11




BIIA Judicial Survey - Self-Represented Party Mediation Experience 2022-2023

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Cicierski	18.75%	3
Costello	6.25%	1
Gilligan	0.00%	0
James	0.00%	0
Krabill	0.00%	0
McIntosh	6.25%	1
Myers	6.25%	1
Raymond	6.25%	1
Schlanbusch	6.25%	1
Sheeran	6.25%	1
Swan	6.25%	1
Taylor	31.25%	5
Woods	6.25%	1
TOTAL		16

Q3 How well did Judge Cicierski demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 25



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	5.00

Q4 How well did Judge Cicierski demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 25



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	5.00

Q5 How well did Judge Cicierski communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 25



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	5.00

Q6 Was Judge Cicierski professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 25



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 2	0.00% 0	2	5.00

Q7 How well did Judge Cicierski demonstrate administrative skills?
Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 2 Skipped: 25



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%		
	0	0	0	0	2	2	5.00

Q8 How well did Judge Costello demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q9 How well did Judge Costello demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q10 How well did Judge Costello communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q11 Was Judge Costello professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q12 How well did Judge Costello demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1	3.00
	0	0	1	0	0		

Q13 How well did Judge Gilligan demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q14 How well did Judge Gilligan demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q15 How well did Judge Gilligan communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q16 Was Judge Gilligan professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q17 How well Judge Gilligan demonstrate administrative skills? Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00
	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Q18 How well did Judge James demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q19 How well did Judge James demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q20 How well did Judge James communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q21 Was Judge James professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q22 How well did Judge James demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00

Q23 How well did Judge Krabill demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q24 How well did Judge Krabill demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q25 How well did Judge Krabill communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

⚠ No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q26 Was Judge Krabill professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q27 How well did Judge Krabill demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00

Q28 How well did Judge McIntosh demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q29 How well did Judge McIntosh demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q30 How well did Judge McIntosh communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q31 Was Judge McIntosh professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q32 How well did Judge McIntosh demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00

Q33 How well did Judge Myers demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q34 How well did Judge Myers demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q35 How well did Judge Myers communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q36 Was Judge Myers professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q37 How well did Judge Myers demonstrate administrative skills?
Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	1	5.00
	0	0	0	0	1	1	

Q38 How well did Judge Raymond demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q39 How well did Judge Raymond demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	2.00

Q40 How well did Judge Raymond communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q41 Was Judge Raymond professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q42 How well did Judge Raymond demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	1	4.00
	0	0	0	1	0	1	

Q43 How well did Judge Schlanbusch demonstrate legal ability?
 Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q44 How well did Judge Schlanbusch demonstrate integrity and impartiality? Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q45 How well did Judge Schlanbusch communicate? Examples include:
 use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation;
 adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement
 was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned
 messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q46 Was Judge Schlanbusch professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q47 How well did Judge Schlanbusch demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1	3.00
	0	0	1	0	0		

Q48 How well did Judge Sheeran demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q49 How well did Judge Sheeran demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q50 How well did Judge Sheeran communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q51 Was Judge Sheeran professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.

Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0	0.00

Q52 How well did Judge Sheeran demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 0 Skipped: 27

 No matching responses.

	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00

Q53 How well did Judge Swan demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q54 How well did Judge Swan demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q55 How well did Judge Swan communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q56 Was Judge Swan professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	1	5.00

Q57 How well did Judge Swan demonstrate administrative skills?
Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	1	5.00
	0	0	0	0	1	1	

Q58 How well did Judge Taylor demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 3 Skipped: 24



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	66.67% 2	33.33% 1	0.00% 0	3	4.33

Q59 How well did Judge Taylor demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 3 Skipped: 24



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	66.67% 2	33.33% 1	0.00% 0	3	4.33

Q60 How well did Judge Taylor communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 3 Skipped: 24



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	66.67% 2	33.33% 1	0.00% 0	3	4.33

Q61 Was Judge Taylor professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 3 Skipped: 24



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	33.33% 1	66.67% 2	0.00% 0	3	4.67

Q62 How well did Judge Taylor demonstrate administrative skills?
 Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.


Answered: 3 Skipped: 24



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	3	4.00
	0	0	0	3	0		

Q63 How well did Judge Woods demonstrate legal ability? Examples include: legal reasoning; and understanding relevant law, rules of procedure and rules of evidence.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q64 How well did Judge Woods demonstrate integrity and impartiality?
 Examples include: avoided impropriety; maintained a neutral presence; permitted parties to be heard; conducted mediation proceedings impartially; and acted without favor or disfavor toward anyone based on race, sex, religion, national origin, disability, age, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status or representational status.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR RESPONSE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q65 How well did Judge Woods communicate? Examples include: use of clear and logical oral communication during the mediation; adequately facilitated communication between the parties; any agreement was written clearly and accurately reflected what transpired; and returned messages and correspondence in a reasonably prompt manner.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	3.00

Q66 Was Judge Woods professional? Examples include: treated people with courtesy and respect; was attentive during the mediation; acted with patience and self-control; and promoted a sense of fairness.


Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	N/A - INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO RESPOND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1	4.00

Q67 How well did Judge Woods demonstrate administrative skills?
Examples include: displayed common sense; started proceedings on time; was prepared for proceedings; helped parties identify the issues in the dispute; helped participants understand others' positions; prepared orders in a timely manner; and took reasonable and appropriate steps to encourage settlement.

Answered: 1 Skipped: 26



	UNACCEPTABLE	POOR	ACCEPTABLE	VERY GOOD	EXCELLENT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
(no label)	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	1	4.00
	0	0	0	1	0		